

Torturing Democracy

Study Guide

"cut[], bite[], or slit[] the nose, ear, or listroy[] an eye, or cut[] off or disable[] a 114. It further prohibits individuals y scalding water, corrosive acid, or cand break his jaw" requisite specific interest of maining must be one of the typing out, disabling, or putting out—and the content of the same of the sa

Note to Educators

This guide is designed to help students consider the complex and important questions raised by *Torturing Democracy*, and its account of a controversial chapter in recent American history. Teaching about the detention and interrogation of prisoners in U.S. custody during the "war on terror" will require special sensitivity from educators to insure thoughtful consideration of the issues.

The activities provided in this guide can help students explore and develop their own views about this important topic. Teachers may want to consult the Choices Program's "Guidelines for Deliberation" http://www.choices.edu/deliberation> as a means of promoting careful consideration of these issues.

Sorting through the approach to the rule of law and treatment of detainees is a classroom challenge. Yet providing students the opportunity to consider these issues and participate in an informed discussion is invaluable.

- GWe were trying to wrestle with how to fight both an enemy and an idea, and I think we came up with a wrongheaded solution—opting out of Geneva. We, after all, want our soldiers, should they be unfortunate enough to be captured, to be treated in a proper way. And yet, we weren't willing to afford that to others. That seems a little counter-intuitive to me. It did at the time, and it does now."
 - Richard Armitage, former Deputy Secretary of State, from *Torturing Democracy*

The film's website <www.torturingdemocracy.org> features the entire film available for streaming, a timeline of key events, extended interviews, and the memos, legal opinions and other documents featured in the film. The web site is a

66Congress can no more interfere with the President's conduct of the interrogation of enemy combatants than it can dictate strategic or tactical decisions on the battlefield."

> - Jay S. Bybee, Assistant Attorney General August 1, 2002

collaboration of the National Security Archive at George Washington University and Washington Media Associates, the producer of the documentary.

Images in the Film: *Torturing Democracy* includes a limited number of graphic images and dramatizations of harsh interrogation methods. This content is concentrated in a few short sections. Teachers should carefully preview the film to be sure that it is appropriate for use in their classrooms.



© 2008 The Choices Program, Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University. The Choices Program takes no advocacy position on political issues. The program supports consideration of multiple views.

Table of Contents

Timel	line	4
Guide	e For Educators	5
Hand	outs	7
]	Document Exercise: Common Article 3	7
(Common Article 3	8
]	Preview Questions	9
(Graphic Organizer	10
(Questions about the Film	11



About the Film

Torturing Democracy investigates U.S. detention and interrogation practices in the "war on terror." The 90-minute documentary examines how coercive interrogation methods were used by the CIA and then transferred to military interrogations at Guantánamo Bay and Iraq. It carefully presents evidence that the Bush administration promoted these methods and developed legal justification for the practice.

The film features in-depth interviews with senior military and government officials who fought the policy and former Guantánamo detainees who experienced it, and uncovers the origins of the tactics the White House calls "enhanced interrogation techniques."

Government and military interviewees include former United States Deputy Secretary of State Richard Armitage; Major General Thomas Romig, Judge Advocate General for the U.S. Army; retired Navy General Counsel Alberto Mora; veteran Air Force interrogator Colonel Steven Kleinman; military prosecutor Colonel Stuart Couch; former Pentagon lawyer Richard Shiffrin; and Martin Lederman, senior advisor in the Justice Department.

Former detainees interviewed include Moazzam Begg (Detainee #558), Shafiq Rasul (Detainee #086), and Bisher Al-Rawi (Detainee #906).

Torturing Democracy was produced by Washington Media Associates in association with the National Security Archive. It was written and produced by Sherry Jones. Carey Murphy is the coproducer. Peter Coyote is the narrator. It was edited by Penny Trams and Foster Wiley.

m:	01:00
	eline

1949 In development for almost a century, the Geneva Conventions - comprising the laws

of war, including Common Article 3 - are initially adopted by 59 nations, including

the United States.

1950-1953 Korean War

1996 The U.S. War Crimes Act defines a "grave breach of the Geneva Conventions"

as a war crime

September 11, 2001 Terrorist attacks on World Trade Center, Pentagon, and United Airlines Flight 93

October 7, 2001 "Operation Enduring Freedom" against al Qaeda and the Taliban in Afghanistan begins

November 13, 2001 President Bush signs a military order giving the president the unilateral power to

decide whether a prisoner is a war criminal, declaring that war criminals do not have the right to seek trial in a U.S. or international court, and stating that if a war criminal is found guilty (when tried by military commission), he or she may face life

imprisonment or death

February 7, 2002 President Bush declares that the United States will not be constrained by the

Geneva Conventions' prohibitions against cruel and inhumane treatment

August 1, 2002 Secret "torture memo" is issued, stating that any attempt by Congress to interfere

with a presidential order is unconstitutional

December 2, 2002 Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld signs an "action memo" approving fifteen

interrogation techniques

January 15, 2003 Alberto Mora, the Navy's top civilian lawyer, drafts a memo calling the tactics being

used at Guantánamo "at a minimum, cruel and unusual treatment and, at worst,

torture"

April 4, 2003 Rumsfeld secretly expands the still-secret "torture memo," approving additional

techniques and immunity for interrogators

September 14, 2003 Interrogation techniques that were authorized for Guantánamo are authorized in Iraq

April 2004 Abu Ghraib prison abuse is exposed

December 2003 The Justice Department withdraws its secret torture memos

June 28, 2004 In Rasul v. Bush, the Supreme Court holds that U.S. courts have the power to review

whether or not the prisoners held at Guantanamo are rightfully detained.

June 29, 2006 Hamdan v. Rumsfeld, et al in which the Supreme Court rules that Guantánamo's

detainees are entitled to the protections of the Geneva Conventions

October 17, 2006 President Bush signs into law the Military Commissions Act, which denies

detainees the constitutional right of *habeas corpus* (the right to a fair and speedy trial to determine the legality of a detainee's imprisonment), states that these detainees will be tried by military commission, and grants retroactive immunity to U.S. officials who may have violated Common Article 3 after September 11, 2001

June 12, 2008 In Boumediene v. Bush the Supreme Court rules that sections of the Military

Commissions $\mbox{\it Act}$ of 2006 are unconstitutional and that Guantánamo's prisoners have

the constitutional right to challenge their detention in U.S. civilian courts

For a more detailed timeline visit www.torturingdemocracy.org

Guide For Educators

Before Watching the Film

Document Exercise: One of the central disputes following the capture of terrorist suspects in Afghanistan arose when the White House began to consider opting out of the Geneva Conventions and its rules for how prisoners are to be treated. Many in the military and the State Department strongly disagreed. In this activity, students examine Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions and consider their own standards of humane treatment.

Preview Questions: These questions help students remember the context of the aftermath of September 11, 2001 and identify their own thoughts about the ideas and concepts that will be raised in *Torturing Democracy*.

- 1. What was the U.S. public's reaction to the attacks of September 11, 2001 and the discovery of anthrax sent through the mail in the weeks that followed?
- 2. What is torture? Why is torture prohibited under U.S. and international law? Should there ever be exceptions to this prohibition?
- 3. What are rights? Should any rights be limited in a post-September 11 world?

Note: Questions are also available as a student handout.

While Watching the Film

Torturing Democracy: Graphic Organizer This tool helps students keep track of significant events as they watch the film and can serve as a reference for discussion after the film.

After Watching the Film

Questions About the Film: These questions help students review the content and issues raised in the film. They are designed to help ensure student comprehension.

- 1. What were the origins and purposes of the Survival, Evasion, Resistance, and Escape (SERE) program?
- 2. Why did the CIA adapt the SERE school training tactics for interrogations?
- 3. In the film, Colonel Steven Kleiman asks the question, "Did the CIA not understand the difference between SERE resistance training and interrogation for intelligence purposes?" Why is this difference so important to him?
- 4. What is extraordinary rendition? How is it used?
- 5. What steps did former Navy General Counsel Alberto Mora take to stop what he saw as "at a minimum, cruel and unusual treatment and, at worst, torture"?
- 6. Why does Marine Lt. Colonel Stuart Couch refuse to prosecute Mohamedou Slahi?
- 7. What are the results of Mohamed al-Qahtani's interrogation?

Note: Questions are also available as a student handout.

Guide For Educators Continued

Questions for Discussion: The following questions are designed to encourage students to evaluate critically the issues raised by the film and to engage in discussion of their beliefs and values in light of the issues raised. Select the questions that will best guide the discussion in your classroom.

- 1. Does this film have a point of view? If so, what do you think it is?
- 2. Did watching the film change your thoughts about what is humane treatment?
- 3. Can torture be an effective way of getting information?
- 4. Do you believe that Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions was violated? Refer to the text of Common Article 3 to support your answer.
- 5. Consider former Deputy Assistant Attorney General John Yoo's statement that international law does not apply in regards to members of al Qaeda.
 - 66What the United States has been doing is interpreting domestic law and I think it's quite fair to say that even if international law requires the United States not to engage in inhumane or degrading treatment the U.S. view is that that rule does not apply in the war against terrorism and against members of al-Qaeda."
 - John Yoo, Former Deputy Assistant Attorney General, October 31, 2005

List arguments in support of Yoo's claim and arguments against it. What are the strengths and weaknesses of these arguments? Are there any adverse consequences of ignoring international law?

- 6. A well-known legal scholar has suggested that torture be allowed in specific circum stances and then only when the government obtains a "torture warrant" from a court. What arguments could be made to support this idea? What arguments could be made against this idea? What do you think?
- 7. Do you think suspected terrorists should have the same rights as others? Should prisoners have the right to understand the charges against them? Should they have the right to a trial to determine their guilt or innocence?
- 8. Should laws ever be suspended or reinterpreted in order to protect the United States? Explain.
- 9. Why do you think CIA officials in the film insisted on memos and approvals for interrogation methods from higher authorities?
- 10. Does the president have the authority to order torture? Should the president have the authority to order torture?
- 11. The film depicts many within the U.S. government who disagreed with the government's policies on the treatment of prisoners and fought battles against those policies inside the government. What responsibility do individuals have to act when they believe a President's orders or policies may be in violation of the law? What responsibility to they have to speak out publicly? What standards would you use to decide when it is right to speak out?

Document Exercise: Common Article	3
Student Name	e:
One of the central disputes following the capture of terrorists the White House began to consider opting out of the Geneva C prisoners are to be treated. <i>Torturing Democracy</i> documents s government on this issue.	Conventions and its rules for how
There are four Geneva Conventions that have rules intended and between states and regulate the treatment of prisoners of civilians. The International Red Cross calls the Geneva Conventional Red Cross calls	war, wounded soldiers, and entions the "core of international ding the United States, have agreed
Instructions: Read the text of Common Article 3. Underline what you believ	re to be the five most important lines.
Think about the phrase "shall in all circumstances be treated	ed humanely"
What does it mean to treat someone humanely? List five comp. 1.	ponents of humane treatment:
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions

In the case of armed conflict not of an international character occurring in the territory of one of the High Contracting Parties, each Party to the conflict shall be bound to apply, as a minimum, the following provisions:

(1) Persons taking no active part in the hostilities, including members of armed forces who have laid down their arms and those placed 'hors de combat' by sickness, wounds, detention, or any other cause, shall in all circumstances be treated humanely, without any adverse distinction founded on race, colour, religion or faith, sex, birth or wealth, or any other similar criteria.

To this end, the following acts are and shall remain prohibited at any time and in any place whatsoever with respect to the above-mentioned persons:

- (a) violence to life and person, in particular murder of all kinds, mutilation, cruel treatment and torture;
- (b) taking of hostages;
- (c) outrages upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment;
- (d) the passing of sentences and the carrying out of executions without previous judgment pronounced by a regularly constituted court, affording all the judicial guarantees which are recognized as indispensable by civilized peoples.
- (2) The wounded and sick shall be collected and cared for.

An impartial humanitarian body, such as the International Committee of the Red Cross, may offer its services to the Parties to the conflict.

The Parties to the conflict should further endeavour to bring into force, by means of special agreements, all or part of the other provisions of the present Convention.

Preview Questions

Student Name:		

1. What was the U.S. public's reaction to the attacks of September 11, 2001 and the discovery of anthrax sent through the mail in the weeks that followed?

2. What is torture? Why is torture prohibited under U.S. and international law? Should there ever be exceptions to this prohibition?

3. What are rights? Should any rights be limited in a post-September 11 world?

Graphic Organizer

Student Name:	
---------------	--

Use this chart to keep track of and organize your thoughts as you watch *Torturing Democracy*. The events below are listed in the order they appear in the film. Use the blank boxes to record any other events you think are important.

Date	Event(s)	Significant Participants	Importance in Film
September 11, 2001			
September 2001	War Council formed	David Addington Tim Flanigan Alberto Gonzales Jim Haynes John Yoo	
	U.S. Overthrow of the Taliban Government in Afghanistan		
	Torture of U.S. prisoners in Korean War		
February 7, 2002		President George W. Bush	
January 15, 2003		Alberto Mora	
June 2008		US Supreme Court	

Questions about the Film

	Student Name:
1. What were the oprogram?	rigins and purposes of the Survival, Evasion, Resistance, and Escape (SERE
2. Why did the CIA	adapt the SERE school training tactics for interrogations?
difference betwe	nel Steven Kleiman asks the question, "Did the CIA not understand the en SERE resistance training and interrogation for intelligence purposes?" rence so important to him?
4. What is extraord	inary rendition? How is it used?
-	ormer Navy General Counsel Alberto Mora take to stop what he saw as "at a and unusual treatment and, at worst, torture"?
6. Why does Marir	e Lt. Colonel Stuart Couch refuse to prosecute Mohamedou Slahi?
7. What are the res	ılts of Mohamed al-Qahtani's interrogation?



Torturing Democracy

www.torturingdemocracy.org

Produced by Washington Media Associates in association with the National Security Archive

Film was written and produced by Sherry Jones, co-produced by Carey Murphy
Narrated by Peter Coyote
Edited by Penny Trams and Foster Wiley

Cover art design Sue England, England Design

Dramatization stills by Washington Media Associates

Study Guide was produced by

The Choices Program

© 2008 Choices Program, Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

www.choices.edu

"cut[], bite[], or slit[] the nose, ear, or listroy[] an eye, or cut[] off or disable[] a 114. It further prohibits individuals y scalding water, corrosive acid, or cand break his jaw" requisite specific interest of maining must be one of the type out disabling or putting out—and the